

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 192259

55

ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /004 R

66612

DRAFTED BY:L/EUR:DHSMALL

9/26/73 EXT 29499

APPROVED BY:L/EUR:DHSMALL

DESIRED DISTRIBUTION: NONE INDICATED

----- 073112

P 270059Z SEP 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USDEL USSCC GENEVA PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 192259

FOR HAROLD S RUSSELL

FOLLOWING REPEAT NATO 2290 SENT ACTION SECSTATE INFO THE HAGUE,
HELSINKI DTD 10 MAY 73:

QTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 2290

E.O. 11652: GDS, 12/31/79

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJECT: CSCE: MEETING OF LEGAL EXPERTS ON SWISS PROPOSAL
TO THE PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

HELSINKI FOR USDEL MPT

BEGIN SUMMARY: LEGAL EXPERTS FROM ELEVEN COUNTRIES MET ON
MAY 8 FOR A GENERAL DISCUSSION OF THE SWISS PROPOSAL FOR THE
PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES. NORWAY AND LUXEMBOURG PARTICI-
PATED THROUGH REGULAR POLADS. FRENCH POLAD ATTENDED WITHOUT
INSTRUCTIONS. EXPERTS PRODUCED A SUMMARY FOR POLADS OF
THE PRINCIPAL POINTS OF THE DISCUSSION WHICH WILL BE FORWARDED
SEPTEL. END SUMMARY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 192259

1. CANADA, FRG, ITALY, BELGIUM, NETHERLANDS, NORWAY, PORTUGAL,

TURKEY EXPRESSED AGREEMENT WITH U.S. POSITION THAT EXISTING INTERNATIONAL MACHINERY SHOULD BE UTILIZED FOR SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES UNDER SWISS PROPOSAL. U.K. AND GREECE EXHIBITED A WILLINGNESS TO CONSIDER NRE MACHINERY. ONLY ICJ WAS MENTIONED AS SUITABLE EXISTING MACHINERY FOR THIS PURPOSE. DISCUSSION REVOLVED AROUND WHETHER EUROPEAN REGIONAL CHAMBERS UNDER ARTICLE 26(1) OF THE ICJ STATUTE SHOULD BE UTILIZED OR AD HOC CHAMBERS UNDER ARTICLE 26(2). SEVERAL DELS EXPRESSED DOUBT THAT ICJ WOULD BE WILLING TO CONVENE A REGIONAL CHAMBER UNDER ARTICLE 26(1) BUT NETHERLANDS DEL COMMENTED THAT MEMBERS OF THE COURT HAD PRIVATELY ASSURED GON THAT ICJ WOULD TAKE THIS STEP IF REQUESTED. SEVERAL DELS POINTED OUT ADVANTAGE OF AD HOC CHANBERS UNDER ARTICLE 26(2) IN THAT NOW ICJ RULES ALLOW FOR CONSULTATION WITH PARTIES ON COMPOSITION OF SUCH CHAMBERS WHEREAS SUCH CONSULTATION NOT NOW AVAILABLE FOR ARTICLE 26(1) CHAMBERS. NETHERLANDS DEL EXPRESSED FIRM CONVICTION THAT ICJ WOULD ALLOW SIMILAR CONSULTATION FOR AN ARTICLE 26(1) REGIONAL CHAMBER.

2. ITALY AND U.S. SUGGESTED THAT AN AD HOC BODY MIGHT BE CONVENED BY PARTIES AS AN ALTERNATIVE IN THE EVENT ICJ UNWILLING TO CONVENE A SATISFACTORY CHAMBER.

3. BELGIUM, CANADA, U.S., FRG, GREECE, NORWAY AND PORTUGAL SUPPORTED POSITION THAT PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT MACHINERY SHOULD CONSIDER ONLY LEGAL DISPUTES CONCERNING INTERPRETATION AND APPLICATION OF AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO OR RESULTING FROM CSCE.

4. CANADA, U.S., FRG, BELGIUM SUPPORTED FURTHER RESTRICTION THAT ONLY LEGAL DISPUTES NOT RELATED TO POLITICAL, MILITARY OR SECURITY MATTERS SHOULD BE SUBJECT TO SETTLEMENT. NETHERLANDS DEL INDIRECTLY CONCURRED SAYING THAT DISPUTES RELATING TO SUCH MATTERS SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED LEGAL DISPUTES.

5. ITALY, U.K., AND NETHERLANDS EXPRESSED WILLINGNESS TO ALLOW PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT MACHINERY TO HAVE JURISDICTION OVER ALL LEGAL DISPUTES BETWEEN PARTICIPANTS WHETHER OR NOT THEY AROSE FROM THE CSCE. THIS MIGHT BE ACCOMPLISHED BY GENERAL ACCEPTANCE ON PART OF ALL PARTICIPANTS OF THE COMPULSORY JURISDICTION OF THE ICJ. HOWEVER, ALL THREE AGREED THAT THE DECLARATION ON PRINCIPLES WOULD BY ITS NATURE BE IMPRECISE AND NOT A LEGAL INSTRUMENT AND CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 192259

THEREFORE WOULD NOT BE A SUITABLE SUBJECT FOR SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES. CANADA INTERPOSED THAT LIMITING JURISDICTION TO CSCE AGREEMENTS WAS ONLY REAL JUSTIFICATION FOR U.S. AND CANADIAN INVOLVEMENT IN THE SYSTEM AS OPPOSED TO INVOLVEMENT BY ANY OTHER NON-EUROPEAN STATE.

6. DANISH REP MADE POINT THAT HUMAN RIGHTS AGREEMENTS MAY NOT BE SUITABLE SUBJECTS FOR PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT MACHINERY IN THAT

ANY RESERVATIONS ENTERED BY SOME PARTICIPANTS MIGHT PREJUDICE STATUS OF HUMAN RIGHTS CONTAINED IN SUCH AGREEMENTS. IN ANY CASE, A MIXED COMMISSION MIGHT BE A PREFERABLE FORUM FOR SETTLEMENT OF SUCH DISPUTES.

7. U.K. PRESSED POINT THAT TACTICALLY WEST WOULD DERIVE ADVANTAGE BY ALLOWING SWISS TO EXPLAIN THEIR PROPOSAL IN STAGE 2 AND LEAVING IT TO EASTERN BLOCK TO EXPRESS ITS INEVITABLE OPPOSITION. SEVERAL DELS CAUTIONED THAT SOVIETS MAY FIND ELEMENTS OF PROPOSAL ON NON-JUSTICIABLE DISPUTES WHICH THEY CAN SUPPORT WITH MODIFICATIONS AND THAT WEST SHOULD HAVE AN AGREED POSITION TO ADVANCE ON JUSTICIABLE DISPUTES ASPECT OF PROPOSAL ONCE SOVIETS HAD EXPRESSED OPPOSITION. RUMSFELD UNQTE RUSH

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 27 SEP 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: morefirh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE192259
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: L/EUR:DHSMALL
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS SMALL
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973096/aaaaadyl.tel
Line Count: 128
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN L
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: morefirh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 12 SEP 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <12-Sep-2001 by freemaal>; APPROVED <05-Nov-2001 by morefirh>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CSCE: MEETING OF LEGAL EXPERTS ON SWISS PROPOSAL TO THE PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES
TAGS: PFOR, NATO
To: USSCC
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005